

A COST OFFSET ANALYSIS (COA) COMPARING REAL-TIME CONTINUOUS GLUCOSE MONITORING (RT-CGM) WITH INTERMITTENT SCANNING CONTINUOUS GLUCOSE MONITORING (IS-CGM) IN TYPE 1 DIABETES (T1D) SUBJECTS AT INCREASED HYPOGLYCEMIA RISK IN EIGHT COUNTRIES

M.E. Minshall,¹ J.J. Isitt,² D. Price,² C. Graham,³ P. Lynch.²

¹Certara Evidence & Access, New York, NY, USA; ²Dexcom Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; ³Graham Advisors, LLC, Long Beach, CA, USA.

Background and Objective

Recent studies using continuous glucose monitors (CGMs) in T1D produce multiple daily readings used to define a novel construct called time in range (TIR), optimally between 70 mg/dl and 180 mg/dl.¹ Multiple readings allow for calculation of a %TIR that has been linked to HbA_{1c} improvements, greater risk for severe hypoglycemia (SH) <54 mg/dl, and diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) >270 mg/dl. A recent RCT² comparing an rt-CGM and is-CGM device demonstrated differences in %TIR over several glucose ranges allowing for projection of potential clinical outcomes. A COA was performed comparing these clinical cost offsets for rt-CGM and is-CGM in people with T1D (n=2,000) and impaired awareness of hypoglycemia (IAH; ~30% of people with T1D), applied to eight countries each over a one-year period.

Methods

Clinical effects included %TIR² for optimal glucose range as a proxy HbA_{1c} measure (algorithm by Beck *et al*³), along with reduced hospitalizations for SH and DKA⁴ based on %TIR spent in low and high glucose ranges,² respectively. Annual cost offsets attributable to a 1.0% HbA_{1c} reduction ranged between \$1,433 (low end) to \$1,987 (high end) based on a published US study (adjusted to \$USD 2019).⁵ The US study economic benefit based on low and high end HbA_{1c} cost offset was then applied to each non-US country through adjustment by OECD healthcare purchasing power parity (each country compared to the US) along with 2019 currency exchange rates (Table 1). Costs attributable to SH and DKA hospitalizations were taken from country-specific published literature and inflated to 2019 values.⁶⁻²²

Table 1: Potential Cost Offsets for Reduced HbA_{1c}, SH and DKA Hospitalizations for Hypothetical Cohorts of People with T1D and Impaired Awareness of Hypoglycemia (n=2,000) in Eight Countries (All currencies adjusted to 2019)

Country	Low End HbA _{1c} Cost Offset per T1D Subject with IAH	High End HbA _{1c} Cost Offset per T1D Subject with IAH	Cost Reduction for 126 Fewer SH Hospitalizations	Cost Reduction for 35 Fewer DKA Hospitalizations	Total Cost Offsets per Country: Low End HbA _{1c} + SH + DKA	Total Cost Offsets per Country: High End HbA _{1c} + SH + DKA	Total Cost Offsets per T1D person per Country: Low End HbA _{1c}	Total Cost Offsets per T1D person per Country: High End HbA _{1c}
Australia (\$AUD)	\$810,104	\$1,123,502	\$326,446 ⁶	\$407,508 ⁷	\$1,544,058	\$1,857,456	\$772	\$929
Canada (\$CAD)	\$773,805	\$1,073,161	\$292,847 ⁸	\$433,639 ⁹	\$1,500,291	\$1,799,648	\$750	\$900
France (€)	€531,377	€736,947	€541,488 ¹⁰	€197,729 ¹¹	€1,270,595	€1,476,164	€635	€738
Germany (€)	€621,047	€861,306	€469,008 ¹²⁻¹³	€145,615 ¹⁴	€1,235,671	€1,475,930	€618	€738
Italy (€)	€384,141	€532,751	€742,743 ¹⁵	€169,034 ¹⁶	€1,295,919	€1,444,528	€648	€722
Spain (€)	€365,322	€506,651	€460,817 ¹⁷	€141,067 ¹⁸	€967,205	€1,108,535	€484	€554
UK (£)	£424,708	£589,011	£329,060 ¹⁹	£76,946 ²⁰	£830,715	£995,018	£415	£497
USA (\$USD)	\$1,230,039	\$1,705,895	\$490,465 ²¹	\$321,839 ²²	\$2,042,342	\$2,518,198	\$1,021	\$1,259

Results

RT-CGM %TIR compared to is-CGM² resulted in estimated HbA_{1c} reductions of -0.95% and -0.52%, respectively, for an incremental difference of -0.43%. The %TIR spent at low glucose (<54 mg/dl) between the groups yielded 126 fewer SH hospitalizations for rt-CGM in each country. The %TIR spent at high glucose (>270 mg/dl) yielded 35 fewer DKA hospitalizations for rt-CGM in each country. Estimated annual cost offsets per person with T1D and IAH using rt-CGM instead of is-CGM in each country range as follows: Australia, \$772-\$929; Canada, \$750-\$900; France, €635-€738; Germany, €618-€738; Italy, €648-€722; Spain, €484-€554; UK, £415-£497; USA, \$1,021-\$1,259.

Conclusions

The RCT² comparing rt-CGM with is-CGM has demonstrated important differences in %TIR spent at low, optimal, and high glucose ranges that are likely to translate into significant clinical and economic benefits for rt-CGM compared with is-CGM. The %TIR metric may grow in clinical significance as the relationship to HbA_{1c} is more fully described and understood.

References

- Battelino T, Danne T, Bergenstal RM, et al. Clinical Targets for Continuous Glucose Monitoring Data Interpretation: Recommendations From the International Consensus on Time in Range. *Diabetes Care*. 2019;42(8):1593-1603.
- Reddy M, Jugnee N, El Laboudi A, Spanudakis E, Anantharaja S, Oliver N. A randomized controlled pilot study of continuous glucose monitoring and flash glucose monitoring in people with Type 1 diabetes and impaired awareness of hypoglycaemia. *Diabetic Medicine: a Journal of the British Diabetic Association*. 2018;35(4):483-490.
- Beck RW, Bergenstal RM, Riddlesworth TD, Kollman C. The Association of Biochemical Hypoglycemia with the Subsequent Risk of a Severe Hypoglycemic Event: Analysis of the DCCT Data Set. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*. 2019;21(1):1-5.
- Charleer S, Mathieu C, Nobels F, et al. Effect of Continuous Glucose Monitoring on Glycemic Control, Acute Admissions, and Quality of Life: A Real-World Study. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 2018;103(3):1224-1232.
- Wagner E, Sandhu N, Newton K, McCulloch D, Ramsey S, D., & Grothaus L. C. (2001). Effect of improved glycemic control on health care costs and utilization. *JAMA*, 285(2), 182-189.
- Ly, T. T., Brnabic, A. J., Eggleston, A., Kolivos, A., McBride, M. E., Schrover, R., & Jones, T. W. (2014). A cost-effectiveness analysis of sensor-augmented insulin pump therapy and automated insulin suspension versus standard pump therapy for hypoglycemic unaware patients with type 1 diabetes. *Value in Health*, 17(5), 561-569.
- Australia Government. (2016). AR-DRG Method: Cost weight (found in: 'round_17_nhcdc_cost_weight_tables_v6.0') for code 'K60A DIABETES W CATASTROPHIC OR SEVERE CC' (\$4966) found in Table 1, Australian public hospital cost report 2013-2014 Round 18.
- Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH). (2013). CADTH Optimal Use Report: Optimal Use Recommendations for Second- and Third-Line Therapy for Patients With Type 2 Diabetes. Retrieved from Ottawa, Ontario: http://www.cadth.ca/media/pdf/OP0512_Diabetes_RecsReport_2nd_3rd-line_e.pdf.
- Brown, S. T., Grima, D. G., & Sauriol, L. (2014). Cost-effectiveness of insulin glargine versus sitagliptin in insulin-naive patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Clinical Therapeutics*, 36(11), 1576-1587.
- Torreton, E., Vandebrouck, T., Emiel, P., & Detournay, B. (2013). Cost of Inpatient Management of Hypoglycaemia in France. *Value in Health*, 16(7), A436.
- French Government. (2016). Summation of DRG codes 10M08T, 10M081, 10M082, 10M083, 10M084. National hospital cost by DRG (2016 ENC data) on ATIH (national hospital database). Retrieved from <http://www.scansante.fr/r/C3%A9f%C3%A9rentiel-de-co%C3%BBts-mco-2013>; <http://www.scansante.fr/applications/statistiques-activite-MCO-par-GHM?secteur=MCO>.
- Hammer, M., Lammert, M., Mejias, S. M., Kern, W., & Frier, B. M. (2009). Costs of managing severe hypoglycaemia in three European countries. *Journal of Medical Economics*, 12(4), 281-290.
- Zöllner, Y. F., Ziegler, R., Stüve, M., Krumreich, J., & Schauf, M. (2016). Event and Cost Offsets of Switching 20% of the Type 1 Diabetes Population in Germany From Multiple Daily Injections to Continuous Subcutaneous Insulin Infusion: A 4-Year Simulation Model. *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology*, 10(5), 1142-1148.
- Icks, A., Strassburger, K., Baechle, C., Rosenbauer, J., Gian, G., Beyer, P., & Holl, R. W. (2013). Frequency and cost of diabetic ketoacidosis in Germany—study in 12,001 paediatric patients. *Experimental and Clinical Endocrinology & Diabetes*, 121(1), 58-59.
- Parekh, W., Streeton, S. E., Baker-Knight, J., Montagnoli, R., Nicoziani, P., & Marchesini, G. (2018). The Economic Burden of Insulin-Related Hypoglycemia in Adults with Diabetes: An Analysis from the Perspective of the Italian Healthcare System. *Diabetes Therapy*, 9(3), 1037-1047.
- Ciampichini, R., Cozzolino, P., Cortesi, P. A., Fornari, C., Madotto, F., Chiodini, V., . . . Cesana, G. (2014). The Burden of Severe Hypoglycaemias and Diabetes Ketoacidosis: A Population-Based Study. *Value in Health*, 17(7), A353.
- Crespo, C., Brosa, M., Soria-Juan, A., Lopez-Alba, A., López-Martínez, N., & Soria, B. (2013). Costes directos de la diabetes mellitus y de sus complicaciones en España (Estudio SECCAID: Spain estimated cost Ciberdem-Cabimer in Diabetes). *Avances en Diabetología*, 29(6), 182-189.
- e-Salud. (2018). Cost of procedures according to official tariffs (250.12-Diabetes con cetoacidosis tipo II o no especificadas descompensadas).
- McEwan, P., Larsen Thorsted, B., Wolden, M., Jacobsen, J., & Evans, M. (2015). Healthcare resource implications of hypoglycemia-related hospital admissions and inpatient hypoglycemia: retrospective record-linked cohort studies in England. *BMJ Open Diabetes Research & Care*, 3(1), e000057.
- Dhatariya, K. K., Skedgel, C., & Fordham, R. (2017). The cost of treating diabetic ketoacidosis in the UK: a national survey of hospital resource use. *Diabetic Medicine*, 34(10), 1361-1366.
- Liu, J., Wang, R., Ganz, M. L., Paprocki, Y., Schneider, J., & Weatherall, J. (2018). The burden of severe hypoglycemia in type 1 diabetes. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*, 34(1), 171-177.
- Tieder, J. S., McLeod, L., Keren, R., Luan, X., Localio, R., Mahant, S., . . . Srivastava, R. (2013). Variation in Resource Use and Readmission for Diabetic Ketoacidosis in Children's Hospitals. *Pediatrics*, 132(2), 229-236.